international DESIGN JOURNAL
Print ISSN 2090-9632
Online ISSN 2090-9640

Green Certification and Heritage Buildings: Approach for Sustainable Adaptive Reuse

Hassan ELShahat Hassan Ahmed ELDib
Assistant Professor, Architecture Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Canadain International College, dr.hassan.aldeeb@hotmail.com

Abstract

There are global efforts to combat environmental degradation intensify, green building standards such as LEED and BREEAM have gained widespread adoption. These frameworks emphasize energy efficiency, resource management, and environmental stewardship, yet their application to heritage buildings still requires additional efforts.. The central issue lies in preserving the architectural and cultural integrity of heritage sites while incorporating sustainable practices, a process that involves careful adaptation. The research problem concerns the deficiencies in applying sustainability standards to the reuse of heritage buildings, particularly in their maintenance and operation. as the Heritage buildings often pose significant challenges, due to outdated materials, construction techniques, and design approaches that do not align with modern sustainability standards. This research aims to address the knowledge gap by investigating how green building principles can be effectively implemented in heritage buildings without compromising their historical value. Through adopting a comparative descriptive analytical methodology to examine the differences in applying sustainability standards between new constructions and the adaptive reuse of heritage buildings, as well as analyzing existing Green Certifications, the study will provide recommendations that contribute to a more sustainable approach to the adaptive reuse of heritage buildings, supporting both environmental and cultural preservation objectives.

Keywords

Green Certification-sustainability, Reuse, heritage buildings

Paper received August 15, 2024, Accepted October 28, 2024, Published on line January 1, 2025

Introduction

In recent years, the global push towards environmental sustainability has spurred the widespread adoption of green building standards, with systems such as LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) and BREEAM (Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method) emerging as benchmarks in the construction industry. These frameworks focus on enhancing energy efficiency, optimizing resource management, and promoting environmental stewardship. However, the application of these standards to heritage buildings presents unique challenges that have yet to be fully addressed.

Heritage buildings, with their historical, cultural, and architectural significance, are often constructed with materials and methods that do not align with modern sustainability standards. The reuse of these buildings presents a dual challenge: while it offers an opportunity to reduce environmental impact by repurposing existing structures, it also risks compromising their historical integrity. This dilemma highlights the pressing need for a sustainable approach that balances environmental objectives with the preservation of cultural heritage.

Despite the growing recognition of sustainable practices, there remains a significant gap in understanding how green building principles can be effectively implemented in the adaptive reuse of heritage buildings. This research seeks to address this gap by investigating the challenges and opportunities associated with applying sustainability standards to these structures. Through a comparative descriptive analytical methodology, the study will explore the differences in applying sustainability standards to new constructions versus heritage buildings, particularly in terms of maintenance and operation and by examining existing Green Certifications and their applicability to heritage sites, this research aims to provide recommendations for a more integrated approach. Ultimately, it seeks to contribute to the development of guidelines that promote both environmental sustainability and the preservation of cultural heritage, offering a pathway to adaptively reuse heritage buildings in a manner that respects their historical value while meeting modern sustainability goals.

1. Background:

This will be related to the reuse of heritage buildings and green building rating system as follows:

1.1. The Reuse of Heritage Buildings

The reuse of heritage buildings is a crucial practice in the field of architecture, urban planning, and cultural preservation. Heritage buildings, often characterized by their historical, cultural, or architectural significance, serve as physical testimonies of past civilizations, embodying societal values, craftsmanship, and architectural ingenuity. However, many of these buildings face the threat of decay, obsolescence, or demolition due to changing societal needs, technological advancements, or economic pressures. (IJNRD, 2022).

The adaptive reuse of these buildings not only provides an avenue for their preservation but also offers sustainable solutions to urban development challenges. Adaptive reuse refers to the process of repurposing old structures for new functions, which can range from converting industrial factories into residential lofts, repurposing old churches into museums, or transforming historic mansions into office spaces. This process goes beyond simple restoration, as it involves modifying the original structure to meet contemporary needs while retaining its historic essence. The practice aligns with sustainable development goals by reducing the need for new materials, conserving energy, and minimizing the environmental impact of demolition and new construction. Furthermore, adaptive reuse contributes to the preservation of local identity and heritage, fostering a connection between the past and present while offering new economic and social opportunities (Alhojaly, 2022).

The reuse of heritage buildings also poses significant challenges. These include navigating complex regulatory frameworks, ensuring the structural integrity of aging buildings, and balancing the preservation of cultural significance with the need for modernization. Preservationists and architects must work closely together to maintain the aesthetic and historical value of the building while making it functional for contemporary use. This requires a sensitive approach to design, materials, and technology, ensuring that new additions or modifications do not compromise the original structure's integrity or cultural meaning.

In many parts of the world, the reuse of heritage buildings has become an integral part of urban revitalization efforts. This trend highlights the potential of heritage buildings to contribute to local economies through tourism, education, and the creative industries. (Plevoets & Van Cleempoel, 2019).

1.2. Green Building Rating systems

It has been designed to assess the sustainability of green buildings and offer best-practice insights at the highest certification level. By following this benchmark, the design, construction, and operation of sustainable buildings can be certified. Using a set of criteria outlined in guidelines and checklists, building owners and operators gain a clear, measurable understanding of their buildings' performance. These criteria may focus solely on specific aspects of sustainability, such as energy efficiency, or take a holistic approach by evaluating performance in key areas such as sustainable site development, human and environmental health, water conservation, material selection, indoor environmental quality, social aspects, and economic value. Additionally, the purpose of rating systems is to certify various elements of sustainable development during both the planning and construction phases. The certification process

This is an Open Access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium,provided the original work is properly cited.

ensures quality for building owners and users. Key criteria for successful assessments include convenience, ease of use, and appropriate effort throughout the design stages. The final assessment should be easy to communicate, transparent in its derivation, and reliable (Bauer, Mösle, & Schwarz, 2010).

2. Comparison between New Buildings and Re-use of Heritage Buildings to implement Green Building Rating System

Implementing a Green Building Rating System (GBRS) in new buildings versus the adaptive reuse of heritage buildings presents different challenges and opportunities. The key differences revolve around design flexibility, preservation requirements, and the existing conditions of the buildings. Here are the primary distinctions:

Sr.	Item to Compare	New Buildings	Heritage Buildings (Re-use)
3.1.	Design Flexibility	High flexibility: New constructions offer complete freedom in design and material selection to meet green building criteria from the ground up. Developers can incorporate modern technologies, sustainable materials, and energy-efficient systems in the design phase to align with the GBRS (e.g., LEED, BREEAM). Integrated sustainability: Energy efficiency, water conservation, and renewable energy sources can be built into the design, ensuring that the building achieves high environmental performance from the start.	Limited flexibility: Adaptive reuse of heritage buildings faces constraints due to the need to preserve the structure's historical and architectural integrity. Modern green building systems often require alterations that might conflict with preservation guidelines. (Fichera & La Gennusa, 2021). Retrofit challenges: Green building features must be retrofitted, which may be more complicated and less efficient compared to integrating these features into new construction. For instance, it might be difficult to install solar panels, upgrade insulation, or redesign ventilation without impacting the original aesthetic. (Vespignani, De Marco, & Merlini, 2021).
3.2.	Preservation Requirements	No preservation restrictions: New buildings do not need to adhere to heritage conservation rules, giving more freedom to use modern, sustainable materials and construction techniques. Compliance with modern codes: They are designed in compliance with current energy codes, environmental regulations, and green standards, without the need to accommodate legacy architectural elements.	Stringent preservation laws: Heritage buildings are protected by national or local heritage regulations that dictate the preservation of historical features. These laws may restrict or complicate the installation of sustainable technologies, such as double-glazed windows or modern HVAC systems. (Mansour, 2021). Sensitive balance: Conservation rules often limit the extent to which modern materials or designs can be applied, necessitating innovative solutions to maintain both sustainability and historical value. (Azzarelli, Bartolucci, & Ferrini, 2021).
3.3.	Energy Efficiency	Optimized energy systems: New buildings can be designed with advanced energy efficiency systems such as	Energy retrofitting: Improving energy efficiency in heritage buildings is more complex, as traditional materials and older construction methods are often inefficient

Sr.	Item to Compare	New Buildings	Heritage Buildings (Re-use)
		passive solar design, high-performance insulation, or geothermal heating from the outset, allowing for easier compliance with energy-efficiency criteria of a GBRS. Advanced materials: The use of cutting-edge, energy-efficient materials like high-performance glass and green roofs can be implemented without any structural or design limitations.	by modern standards. Upgrading insulation, windows, and heating systems must be done without compromising the building's historical character. (Alhorr, Sadiq, & Bahri, 2021). Passive strategies: Heritage buildings may rely on passive strategies like natural ventilation or the use of thick walls for thermal mass, which were once considered energy-efficient but may not meet modern green building standards without substantial updates. (Bardhan, Aydin, & Becker, 2023).
3.4.	Materials and Resources	Sustainable material selection: New constructions can incorporate eco-friendly materials like recycled content, sustainably harvested wood, and low-VOC products from the beginning, helping to meet GBRS criteria. Waste minimization: New building processes can be designed to minimize construction waste and pollution.	Material preservation: The use of original materials is often mandatory to preserve the building's authenticity. While this aligns with sustainability principles like reuse and conservation of resources, the materials may not meet modern environmental standards (e.g., energy inefficiency or the use of lead-based products (Adrenaline Architecture, 2024). Limited sourcing flexibility: Sourcing materials that match the historical period or repair existing materials is a significant challenge, and options may be limited, particularly when adhering to sustainability requirements (Awan & Awan, 2023).
3.5.	Technological Integration	Advanced technologies: New buildings can fully integrate advanced technologies such as building automation systems, renewable energy systems (solar, wind), smart water management, and energy monitoring tools, facilitating easier compliance with GBRS.	Technological constraints: The integration of modern green technologies in heritage buildings can be limited by the structure's age and design. For example, installing solar panels on a heritage site's roof may be deemed visually inappropriate, and upgrading to smart energy systems may require invasive modifications (WunderBuild, 2023). Innovative retrofits: Special techniques like non-invasive renewable energy systems or hidden energy management systems might be needed to achieve sustainability goals without altering the building's appearance (Bardhan, Aydin, & Becker, 2023).
3.6.	Cost Implications	Predictable costs: The cost of implementing green building technologies is generally easier	Higher costs: Retrofitting a heritage building for energy efficiency or sustainability often involves higher costs

Sr.	Item to Compare	New Buildings	Heritage Buildings (Re-use)
		to predict in new constructions. The ability to plan ahead allows for budgeting that aligns with green building objectives.	due to the complexity of maintaining historical integrity. Specialized labor, custom materials, and delicate restoration work can make it more expensive than implementing green practices in new buildings (Fox, 2018).
3.7.	Cultural and Social Value	No pre-existing cultural value: New buildings do not carry the historical or cultural significance of heritage buildings, allowing for more freedom in design and green integration without the need to maintain cultural narratives.	Cultural significance: Heritage buildings carry immense cultural and historical value, and adaptive reuse provides an opportunity to not only preserve this heritage but to make it environmentally sustainable for future generations. There is an inherent social value in preserving the identity and legacy of the past while contributing to environmental sustainability (WunderBuild, 2024).
Conclusion: While new buildings can seamlessly incorporate the latest green building technologies and materials, the reuse of heritage buildings requires a more delicate balance between sustainability and preservation. New constructions benefit from complete design flexibility and lower constraints, allowing for optimal green performance. On the other hand, adapting heritage buildings to meet green building standards often involves complex retrofitting, higher costs, and adherence to strict preservation guidelines. Nevertheless, adaptive reuse projects offer the added benefit of preserving cultural heritage while contributing to environmental goals, thereby making these projects uniquely valuable in sustainable urban development.			

3. Adapting Green Building Rating Systems for Historical Structures:

When it comes to heritage buildings, using conventional Green Building Rating Systems (GBRS) can be challenging due to the need to preserve historical features while implementing sustainability practices. Several GBRS have been adapted or specifically developed to address the unique requirements of heritage buildings. Here are some efforts to be implemented:

3.1. LEED for Existing Buildings: Operations and Maintenance (LEED O+M)

Developed by:
The U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) created the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification system, including LEED for Existing Buildings: Operations and Maintenance (LEED O+M). LEED O+M provides a framework for improving the sustainability of existing buildings, focusing on optimizing their operations and maintenance practices (U.S. Green Building Council, n.d.).

Focus of LEED O+M:

Unlike LEED certifications for new constructions, LEED O+M is designed for buildings that are already in use. It aims to enhance the sustainability of existing structures by improving operational practices and reducing environmental impact. This system concentrates on energy efficiency, water conservation, sustainable materials, and indoor air quality.

A key feature of LEED O+M is its suitability for historic or heritage buildings. These types of structures, often unable to meet stringent new construction standards, can still implement sustainability improvements. LEED O+M allows for modifications that respect the architectural integrity of older buildings, particularly those considered culturally or historically significant. For example, energy-efficient lighting or HVAC systems may be installed without compromising historical details.

Applicability to Historic Buildings:
Heritage buildings often face unique challenges

This is an Open Access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium,provided the original work is properly cited.

in terms of sustainability. Due to their age, materials, or historical preservation requirements, they may not be able to comply with the demands of modern green building standards. LEED O+M provides a solution, as it emphasizes optimizing building performance without necessitating major structural changes that might detract from the building's historical value.

For instance, a historic building may not be suited to house a rooftop solar array or undergo extensive facade modifications. However, through LEED O+M, owners can still achieve substantial improvements in energy and water efficiency, waste reduction, and indoor environmental quality. Operational changes, such as efficient lighting retrofits, sustainable waste management, or even the adoption of renewable energy sources through more discreet methods, can bring these buildings closer to modern environmental standards.

Core Benefits of LEED O+M:

- **Energy Efficiency:** Improvements in energy performance through better operational practices, efficient lighting, and updated HVAC systems.
- **Water Conservation:** Implementing water-saving technologies such as low-flow fixtures and smart irrigation systems.
- **Resource Management:** Sustainable purchasing and waste reduction strategies to reduce a building's environmental footprint.
- **Improved Indoor Air Quality:** Healthier indoor environments through better ventilation, use of low-emission materials, and regular maintenance of building systems.

Conclusion: LEED O+M serves as an important tool for improving the sustainability of existing buildings, especially heritage structures. By focusing on operational enhancements rather than large-scale renovations, it ensures that older buildings can remain functional and efficient while preserving their cultural and historical significance. This balance between sustainability and preservation makes LEED O+M a valuable certification for many older or protected buildings worldwide.

3.2. BREEAM Refurbishment and Fit-Out (RFO)

Developed by:
The Building Research Establishment (BRE), a UK-based organization, developed the BREEAM (Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method) certification system, which is one of the world's leading sustainability assessment methods for buildings. BREEAM Refurbishment and Fit-Out (RFO) is a specialized version of this system, focused on improving the sustainability performance of existing buildings undergoing renovation or retrofitting.(BREEAM, n.d.).

Focus of BREEAM RFO:
BREEAM RFO is designed specifically for refurbishment projects, allowing building owners and operators to improve their structures' sustainability without requiring new construction. The system provides a flexible framework to enhance the environmental, economic, and social performance of a building, with a focus on both major and minor upgrades. It encompasses a broad range of sustainability categories, including energy use, water management, materials, health and well-being, and pollution reduction.

A significant strength of BREEAM RFO is its sensitivity to heritage buildings. Older or historic buildings often have cultural and architectural value that must be preserved during refurbishment or fit-out projects. BREEAM RFO offers a structured approach that balances modern sustainability standards with the protection of these historically significant structures. It provides criteria for implementing sustainable design and construction practices while ensuring that the historical and cultural integrity of the building is maintained. This means upgrades can be made in areas like energy efficiency, water conservation, and indoor environmental quality, without compromising the building’s original character.

Applicability to Historic Buildings:
Many older or heritage buildings need to undergo significant modernization to remain functional or meet contemporary standards. However, these structures can present challenges for architects and engineers, as retrofitting them often requires a careful balance between maintaining their historical

significance and achieving environmental sustainability.

BREEAM RFO is particularly suited to buildings undergoing substantial refurbishment while still aiming to retain key historic elements. For example, the system allows for the integration of modern technologies, such as improved insulation, energy-efficient lighting, and heating systems, without altering the building's façade or key architectural features. It also offers flexibility in areas such as material reuse and waste reduction, which can be adapted to the context of a historic renovation.

In addition, BREEAM RFO provides credits for using sustainable materials, maintaining high indoor air quality, and managing waste efficiently. For heritage buildings, this may involve using traditional, locally sourced materials that align with the building's historical character, while still adhering to sustainability goals.

Core Benefits of BREEAM RFO:

- **Energy Efficiency:** Optimizes building energy performance through insulation, efficient lighting, and heating/cooling systems, all of which can be integrated without detracting from historic features.
- **Water Conservation:** Encourages the installation of water-efficient fixtures and systems, even within the constraints of older plumbing infrastructures.
- **Sustainable Materials:** Promotes the use of environmentally friendly materials that can complement a building's original design and aesthetic.
- **Waste Reduction:** Emphasizes recycling and material reuse, particularly relevant in the context of heritage buildings where preserving original elements is often necessary.
- **Health and Well-being:** Focuses on improving indoor air quality and occupant comfort, which are particularly important in older buildings that may not have been designed with modern standards in mind.

Conclusion: BREEAM Refurbishment and Fit-Out (RFO) provides a comprehensive framework for improving the sustainability of existing buildings, particularly those undergoing significant renovations or modernization. Its adaptability to heritage buildings makes it an essential tool for architects, engineers, and building owners who need to balance sustainability with the preservation of historical and cultural values. By ensuring that refurbishments respect the integrity of historic elements while also meeting modern sustainability standards, BREEAM RFO helps extend the life of older buildings in an environmentally responsible manner.

3.3. Green Star (Australia): Heritage Rating Tool

The Green Star rating system, developed by the Green Building Council of Australia (GBCA), is a comprehensive framework for evaluating the environmental and sustainable performance of buildings. One of its specialized tools, the **Green Star Heritage rating tool**, focuses on assessing and promoting sustainable practices in **heritage-listed buildings**. These are buildings or sites that have been recognized for their cultural, historical, or architectural significance and are protected by law to ensure their preservation. (Green Building Council of Australia, 2021).

Challenges of Heritage Buildings

Heritage buildings face unique challenges when it comes to sustainability. Many of these structures were built decades or even centuries ago, without modern energy efficiency, water conservation, or indoor environmental quality considerations. Moreover, the preservation requirements restrict significant alterations to their original architecture, making it difficult to retrofit them with modern sustainable technologies.

Despite these challenges, sustainable retrofitting of heritage buildings offers immense benefits. It not only helps reduce the environmental footprint of these older buildings but also preserves their cultural value by ensuring they remain functional and relevant in a modern context.

Green Star Heritage Rating Tool Features

The **Green Star Heritage rating tool** was developed to address these specific challenges, providing a pathway for heritage-listed buildings to achieve high standards of sustainability without compromising their

This is an Open Access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium,provided the original work is properly cited.

historical significance. Key features of this tool include:

- **Energy Efficiency**:
  - Encourages the use of innovative technologies to improve energy efficiency, such as upgrading HVAC systems, improving insulation, or incorporating renewable energy sources like solar panels, where possible.
  - Balances the need for energy-saving features with the preservation of historical elements, ensuring interventions do not compromise the building's heritage value.
- **Water Conservation:**
  - Focuses on minimizing water usage by introducing water-efficient systems like low-flow fixtures or rainwater harvesting solutions.
  - Ensures that water-related improvements are sympathetic to the original building design, respecting historical plumbing layouts and materials.
- **Materials Conservation:**
  - Promotes the reuse of existing building materials to minimize waste.
  - Supports the selection of sustainable, non-toxic materials for any new interventions, ensuring compatibility with the existing heritage fabric.
- **Indoor Environmental Quality:**
  - Encourages improvements in indoor air quality, natural lighting, and ventilation while respecting the building's original design and structure.
  - Modern HVAC and lighting systems can be introduced in a way that complements the historical architecture without altering its appearance.
- **Sustainable Operation and Management:**
  - Focuses on how heritage buildings are operated and maintained to ensure long-term sustainability.
  - Provides guidelines on how to manage the building's energy, water, and waste systems to achieve ongoing environmental benefits without detracting from its cultural significance.

Benefits of the Green Star Heritage Tool

The **Green Star Heritage rating tool** offers a number of benefits to owners, developers, and the broader community:

- **Cultural Preservation**: It ensures that buildings with historical significance are preserved for future generations while still achieving modern sustainability goals.
- **Operational Efficiency**: By improving the energy and water efficiency of heritage buildings, the tool helps reduce operational costs.
- **Environmental Impact**: Sustainable retrofitting reduces the carbon footprint of these buildings, contributing to broader efforts to combat climate change.
- **Enhanced Property Value**: Buildings that combine historical significance with modern sustainability features tend to have higher market value and attract more tenants or buyers.

Conclusion: The **Green Star Heritage rating tool** offers an innovative approach to sustainability for heritage-listed buildings in Australia. By addressing the unique challenges associated with these structures, it ensures that they can meet modern environmental standards while preserving their cultural and historical value. Through a balanced approach that respects the past and embraces the future, this tool is helping to redefine sustainability in the context of heritage conservation.

3.4. PASSIVHAUS for Heritage Buildings: Adapting Energy Efficiency for Historic Structures

The **Passivhaus** standard, originally developed by the Passivhaus Institute in Germany, is a highly recognized approach for creating ultra-low energy buildings that require minimal energy for heating or cooling. This standard emphasizes airtightness, high insulation levels, high-performance windows, and mechanical ventilation with heat recovery. While it was initially designed for new builds, it has been adapted for **heritage and historic buildings** through a specialized renovation standard known as **EnerPHit**. (Historic England, 2024)

, (D'Ayala & Bullen, 2015) and (Historic England, n.d.).

What is the EnerPHit Standard?

EnerPHit is a variation of the Passivhaus standard tailored specifically for the renovation of existing buildings, particularly historic or heritage buildings. It recognizes that achieving the full Passivhaus standard may be unrealistic or inappropriate in the context of older buildings due to their architectural significance, construction methods, and material limitations. Instead, EnerPHit provides more flexible criteria while still significantly improving the energy efficiency and comfort of these buildings.

Key Focus Areas of EnerPHit

- **Balancing Energy Efficiency with Historic Integrity:** EnerPHit focuses on achieving the highest possible energy efficiency in a way that respects the building's historical and architectural value. For example, a strict Passivhaus requirement of airtightness might not be achievable or desirable in a centuries-old building with traditional construction methods. Instead, EnerPHit allows for more flexible, context-sensitive solutions, such as partial improvements in airtightness combined with better insulation techniques and heat recovery ventilation systems.
- **Insulation and Thermal Efficiency:** One of the central challenges in retrofitting heritage buildings is upgrading insulation without damaging the building fabric or altering its appearance. EnerPHit encourages the use of **natural insulation materials** like wood fiber or hemp, which are more compatible with older construction methods. Internal insulation is often favored over external solutions to maintain the aesthetic and historical integrity of the building's exterior. The guide also suggests addressing gaps in existing structures to improve thermal performance while preserving original architectural elements like stone walls or timber beams.
- **Airtightness and Ventilation:** While heritage buildings cannot always meet the stringent airtightness standards of new Passivhaus constructions, EnerPHit prioritizes the installation of high-performance ventilation systems with heat recovery. These systems ensure that air quality is maintained while minimizing energy loss. By improving ventilation, the risk of moisture accumulation, a common problem in older buildings, is reduced, safeguarding both energy performance and building conservation.
- **Windows and Glazing:** Replacing or upgrading windows in historic buildings is often contentious due to the need to preserve original craftsmanship. EnerPHit advocates for solutions like **secondary glazing** or **high-performance timber-framed windows** that replicate the original design while significantly improving thermal efficiency. This allows for improved insulation without compromising the historic appearance of the building.
- **Renewable Energy Integration:** EnerPHit also provides guidance on integrating **renewable energy technologies** such as solar panels, heat pumps, or biomass heating systems into heritage buildings. The key principle is that such technologies should be incorporated with minimal visual and physical impact. This ensures that modern interventions do not detract from the building's historical significance.

Applicability of EnerPHit Globally

Though the EnerPHit standard was developed in Europe, its principles can be applied globally. Many regions with rich architectural heritage, from Europe to the Middle East and Asia, face similar challenges when attempting to retrofit older structures to meet modern energy standards. EnerPHit offers a framework that can be adapted based on local climate, materials, and historical preservation requirements, providing a roadmap for improving the sustainability of heritage buildings worldwide.

Benefits of EnerPHit for Heritage Buildings

- **Energy Efficiency:** EnerPHit-certified renovations can reduce heating energy consumption by up to 90%, significantly lowering carbon emissions.
- **Comfort:** Improved insulation and airtightness result in greater occupant

This is an Open Access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium,provided the original work is properly cited.

comfort, with more stable indoor temperatures and better air quality.

- **Preservation:** By respecting the historic fabric of the building, EnerPHit ensures that energy efficiency upgrades do not come at the cost of cultural or architectural heritage.

Conclusion: The **EnerPHit** standard is a groundbreaking adaptation of the Passivhaus principles for historic buildings, allowing for energy-efficient renovations without sacrificing historical authenticity. By combining sensitive interventions with modern sustainability practices, EnerPHit offers a solution for heritage buildings worldwide to remain both functional and energy-efficient while preserving their unique historical significance.

4. Summary:

Several sustainable building certification systems highlights their pivotal role in enhancing the sustainability of heritage structures while preserving their cultural significance. LEED O+M emphasizes operational improvements rather than extensive renovations, allowing older buildings to maintain functionality and efficiency. This makes it particularly valuable for protecting historical properties globally.

BREEAM Refurbishment and Fit-Out (RFO) offers a comprehensive framework that caters to significant renovations while ensuring the integrity of historic elements is preserved. Its adaptability is crucial for architects, engineers, and building owners aiming to balance modern sustainability standards with the conservation of cultural values, thereby extending the lifespan of older buildings in an environmentally responsible way.

Similarly, Australia’s Green Star Heritage rating tool addresses the unique challenges faced by heritage-listed buildings, facilitating compliance with contemporary environmental standards while honoring historical attributes. This balanced approach fosters a redefinition of sustainability in heritage conservation.

Finally, the EnerPHit standard adapts Passivhaus principles for historic buildings, promoting energy-efficient renovations that respect historical authenticity. By integrating modern sustainability practices with sensitive interventions, EnerPHit supports the functionality and energy efficiency of heritage structures while preserving their unique historical significance. Collectively, these certifications demonstrate a commitment to harmonizing sustainability with heritage conservation.

5. Recommendations:

The recommendations for enhancing Green Building Rating Systems (GBRS) for the re-use of heritage buildings can be as follows:

- **Tailored Sustainability Strategies for Heritage Buildings**
  - **Energy Efficiency Adjustments**: Integrate energy-efficient systems (lighting, HVAC, insulation) that respect historical features, such as installing solar panels or heat pumps in less visible areas, or using energy management systems that blend with the existing architecture.
  - **Water Conservation**: Introduce water-saving fixtures (e.g., low-flow taps, grey water recycling, rainwater harvesting) that align with the building's original plumbing design, ensuring minimal alteration.
- **Preservation of Historical Integrity**
  - **Flexible Retrofitting**: Develop retrofitting guidelines that balance sustainability with the need to protect cultural and architectural features. Encourage partial airtightness improvements, context-sensitive insulation, and non-invasive renewable energy solutions.
  - **Traditional Craftsmanship and Sustainable Materials**: Prioritize the use of sustainable, locally sourced materials that align with the building’s historical design (e.g., high-performance timber-framed windows), while reusing original materials (stone, brick, timber) wherever possible.
- **Non-Invasive, Performance-Based Upgrades**
  - **Smart Energy Management**: Use advanced energy monitoring systems to improve operational efficiency without requiring structural alterations.
  - **Non-Intrusive Renewable Energy**: Explore non-intrusive renewable energy options (e.g., roof-integrated photovoltaic tiles, ground-source heat

pumps) to achieve energy efficiency while maintaining the building's aesthetic integrity.

- **Improved Indoor Environmental Quality**
  - **Balanced Ventilation and Airtightness**: Improve ventilation and air quality using low-emission materials and high-performance ventilation systems that respect the original structure. Focus on moisture control to prevent damage while maintaining historical integrity.
  - **Natural Lighting and HVAC**: Implement efficient HVAC and non-invasive lighting systems to enhance indoor environmental quality without compromising historical elements.
- **Material Reuse and Circular Economy**
  - **Material Conservation**: Focus on reusing existing materials (e.g., restoring flooring, windows) to reduce waste and maintain historical authenticity. Use sustainable materials that are compatible with heritage fabric when new interventions are necessary.
  - **Adaptive Reuse**: Encourage the adaptive reuse of building components and architectural elements to minimize the need for new materials and reduce the building's carbon footprint.
- **Sustainable Operation and Management**
  - **Heritage-Specific Maintenance**: Create long-term strategies for energy, water, and waste management that align with heritage conservation, ensuring ongoing sustainability without compromising cultural value.
  - **Sustainability Education**: Provide training and educational resources for building operators on sustainable practices tailored to heritage buildings.
- **Credit System for Heritage-Specific Challenges**
  - **Incentivizing Conservation Efforts**: Adapt the GBRS credit system to reward projects that overcome heritage-specific challenges, such as innovative material reuse, non-invasive energy upgrades, and successful cultural preservation alongside sustainability efforts.
- **Cultural and Community Engagement**
  - **Community Involvement**: Engage local heritage stakeholders and cultural organizations to ensure sustainability measures are sensitive to community values and historical significance.
  - **Public Awareness**: Use heritage buildings as case studies to educate the public on the coexistence of sustainability and cultural preservation, promoting broader appreciation and application of sustainable practices in heritage conservation.

References:

1- IJNRD. (2022). Adaptive reuse of heritage building. International Journal of Novel Research and Development, 7(5), Article IJNRD2205132. https://www.ijnrd.org/papers/IJNRD2205132.pdf

2-Alhojaly, R. A. (2022). A proposed model of assessing the adaptive reuse of heritage buildings in historic Jeddah. Buildings, 12(4), 406. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12040406.

3-Plevoets, B., & Van Cleempoel, K. (2019). Adaptive reuse of the built heritage: Concepts and cases of an emerging discipline. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43238-022-00054-0.

4-Bauer, M., Mösle, P., & Schwarz, M. (2010). Green building. Callwey Verlag.

5-Fichera, A., & La Gennusa, M. (2021). Up-to-date challenges for the conservation, rehabilitation, and energy retrofitting of higher education cultural heritage buildings. Sustainability, 13(4), 2061. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042061.

6-Vespignani, I., De Marco, D., & Merlini, M. (2021). Adaptive reuse of a historic building by introducing new functions: A scenario evaluation based on participatory MCA applied to a former Carthusian monastery in Tuscany, Italy. Sustainability, 13(4), 2335. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042335.

7-Mansour, H. (2021). Up-to-date challenges for the conservation, rehabilitation, and energy retrofitting of higher education cultural heritage buildings. Sustainability,

This is an Open Access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium,provided the original work is properly cited.

13(4), 2061. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042061.

8-Azzarelli, A., Bartolucci, A., & Ferrini, F. (2021). Adaptive reuse of a historic building by introducing new functions: A scenario evaluation based on participatory MCA applied to a former Carthusian monastery in Tuscany, Italy. Sustainability, 13(4), 2335. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042335.

9-Alhorr, H., Sadiq, M., & Bahri, M. (2021). Towards nearly-zero energy in heritage residential buildings retrofitting in hot, dry climates. Sustainability, 13(24), 13934. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413934.

10-Bardhan, R., Aydin, N., & Becker, A. (2023). Evaluating the implementation of energy retrofits in historic buildings: A demonstration of the energy conservation potential and lessons learned for upscaling. Buildings, 7(2), 48. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings7020048 .

11-Adrenaline Architecture. (2024, January 3). Unlocking the past: Historic preservation and adaptive reuse techniques. Architecture Adrenaline. https://www.architectureadrenaline.com/techniques-historic-preservation-adaptive-reuse-architecture/.

12-Awan, S. A., & Awan, R. U. (2023). Adaptive reuse of heritage buildings: A systematic literature review of success factors. Habitat International, 136, 102926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2023.102926.

13-WunderBuild. (2023, October 26). Cultural heritage and modern construction: Balancing tradition with innovation. WunderBuild. https://www.wunderbuild.com/blog/cultural-heritage-and-modern-construction-balancing-tradition-with-innovation/.

14-Bardhan, R., Aydin, N., & Becker, A. (2023). Evaluating the implementation of energy retrofits in historic buildings: A demonstration of the energy conservation potential and lessons learned for upscaling. Buildings, 7(2), 48. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings7020048

15-Fox, O. (2018, November 27). Cost drivers of historic adaptive reuse projects. MGAC. https://www.mgac.com/blog/cost-drivers-of-historic-adaptive-reuse-projects/.

16-WunderBuild. (2024, April 12). The art of restoration: Preserving heritage buildings for future generations. WunderBuild. https://www.wunderbuild.com/blog/the-art-of-restoration-preserving-heritage-buildings-for-future-generations/.

17-U.S. Green Building Council. (n.d.). LEED v4 reference guide for building operations and maintenance. https://www.usgbc.org/guide/om.

18-BREEAM. (n.d.). Refurbishment and fit out. https://breeam.com/breeam-usa/standards/refurbishment-fit-out.

19-Green Building Council of Australia. (2021, November 18). Green Star performance v2 public consultation - Batch 1 credits. https://www.gbca.org.au/uploads/0/0/Public%20Consultation%20-%20Batch%201.pdf.

20-Historic England. (2024, July 23). Adapting historic buildings for energy and carbon efficiency. https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/adapting-historic-buildings-energy-carbon-efficiency-advice-note-18/

21-D'Ayala, D., & Bullen, P. A. (2015). Handbook: Energy efficiency solutions for historic buildings. Birkhäuser. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783038216506

22-Historic England. (n.d.). Adapting historic buildings for energy and carbon efficiency: Historic England Advice Note 18 (HEAN 18). https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/adapting-historic-buildings-energy-carbon-efficiency-advice-note-18/heag321-adapting-historic-buildings-energy-carbon-efficiency/